

United States Patent and Trademark Office

B

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,080	12/22/2005	Haruhiko Deguchi	64702 (70904)	9653
21874 7590 . 03/12/2007 EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 558	74		STEVENOSKY, MARK J	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		03/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/562,080	DEGUCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark John Stevenosky, Jr.	2853				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply in. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become AB AND	TION. Doe timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 December 2005</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-20,22-39 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers .						
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. brrection is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-7, drawn to a nozzle plate with a first and second nozzle layer and blocking layer.

Group II, claim(s) 8-13, drawn to a nozzle plate with a single nozzle layer, a reinforcing plate and blocking layer

Group III, claim(s) 14-15, drawn to method of manufacturing a nozzle plate.

Group IV, claim(s) 16-17, drawn to method of manufacturing nozzle plate.

Group V, claim(s) 18-20,22-28,30,33, drawn to a nozzle plate with a first and second nozzle layer, discharge layer and the first nozzle hole penetrating the first nozzle layer.

Group VI, claim(s) 29, drawn to a nozzle plate with a first nozzle layer, a reinforcing plate, a blocking layer, a discharge layer and the first nozzle hole penetrating the first nozzle layer.

Group VII, claim(s) 34-39, drawn to a method of manufacturing a nozzle plate.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The groups fail to meet the requirements of PCT Rule 13.2 in that they present

an illegal combination of different categories of inventions. According to Rule 3.2, only one invention in each category (product, process or apparatus) may be permitted. Groups I-VII have four apparatus and three processes.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark John Stevenosky, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 270-1336. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs & Alternating Fridays 7:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark John Stevenosky, Jr.

Examiner Art Unit 2853

3/1/2007

MANISH S. SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER